BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:

DARRYL JOSEPH MOHR, M.D.

Holder of License No. 11224

For the Practice of Medicine In the State of Arizona.

_

Board Case No. 13420

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER

CONSENT AGREEMENT

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5), Darryl Joseph Mohr, M.D. (Respondent) and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement and Order as the final disposition of this matter.

- 1. Respondent acknowledges that he has read and understands everything contained in the Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
- 2. Respondent understands that by entering into this Consent Agreement and Order, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to challenge in state or federal court this Consent Agreement and Order in its entirety as issued by the Board and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from the Order.
- 3. Respondent acknowledges and understands that this Consent Agreement and Order will not become effective until approved by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director or designee.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director. Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement and Order or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement and Order has been issued by the Executive

26

Sep 13 00 02:40a 10/20/2000 FRI 14:18 FAX

Ø 004

Director Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually 1 approved by the parties. 2 3 Respondent further understands that this Consent Agreement and Order, once 4 approved and signed, shall constitute a public record which may be publicly disseminated as a 5 formal action of the Board. 6 6 If any part of the Consent Agreement and Order is later declared void or otherwise 7 unenforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in force and effect. 8 Respondent acknowledges that any violation of this Order constitutes 9 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. §32-1401(25)(r), and may result in disciplinary action 10 pursumet to A R.S. §32-1451. 11 The parties mutually understand and agree that this Order constitutes a final 12 decision in the matter under investigation by the Board and referenced above in Case No. 13420 and any other matters expressly stated in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law 13 14 Accepted by: 15 16 17 18 Date 19 20 Reviewed and approved as to form by 21 22 23 oursel for Respondent

> Palmer Counsel for Darryl J. Mohn, M.D.

23-50

-2-

FINDINGS OF FACT

By stipulation of the parties, the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Consent Order are entered for final disposition of the matters described therein.

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 11224 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. On or about October 22, 1999, Respondent prescribed Viagra to "Patient SA" without first conducting a physical examination of Patient SA or determining whether Viagra was clinically indicated or contraindicated for Patient SA. Respondent also did not have an established physician-patient relationship with Patient SA. Patient SA was an agent for the Federal Food and Drug Administration, who was conducting an investigation of physician prescribing practices.
- 4. Following a Formal Interview on April 26, 2000, Respondent entered into an Interim Consent Agreement dated April 26, 2000. That Consent Agreement for Interim Order provided, in part, for the restriction of Respondent's authority to prescribe or dispense any medication to any individual or patient. The case was also referred to a formal Board complaint hearing.
- In addition to the above, the Board received information that Respondent was named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed by the Attorney General of Illinois, Consumer Fraud Bureau, i.e., People v. Expressmed Services Corp., et al, and the lawsuit included allegations of defendants engaging in the use of electronic internet communication for the prescribing and dispensing of prescription medications and thereby violating the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, Pharmacy Practice Act of 1987 and Medical Practice Act of 1987. Respondent and other named defendants accepted a stipulated order for settlement of the lawsuit where Respondent did not admit to any illegal misconduct but agreed not to engage in the

internet prescribing or dispensing of prescription medications in Illinois.

2

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3 4

2.

1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent.

5

The conduct and circumstances described above in paragraphs 3 to 5, above. constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(q) (any conduct or practice

6

which is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.)

7

CONSENT ORDER

8

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

9

1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand for the above-referenced unprofessional conduct and ordered to pay a fine of \$5,000.00 to the State of Arizona;

10 11

2. Respondent must complete forty (40) hours of continuing medical education in pharmacology by June 30, 2001, which must be pre-approved by the Board's chief medical

12 13

14

15

16

consultant;

4.

3. Respondent shall prescribe, dispense, or furnish prescription medications or prescription-only devices in accordance with the provisions of A.R.S. §32-1401(25)(ss) at any time he is practicing medicine in Arizona or treating an Arizona resident. Further, if Respondent prescribes, dispenses or furnishes prescription medications or prescription-only devices in any jurisdiction other than Arizona, he shall first be licensed to practice medicine in that jurisdiction

17 18

and otherwise shall comply with all applicable laws in that jurisdiction;

19 20

21

The restriction on prescribing activity described above shall remain in effect so long as Respondent continues to be a licensee of the Board, unless the restriction on prescribing activity defined in A.R.S. §32-1401(25)(ss) are amended and in the event that the terms and

22 23

conditions of that statute change, any changes shall be applicable to Respondent; and

24

Respondent shall remain in compliance with current or subsequent laws and administrative rules governing a holder of a Board license to practice medicine.

25

26

25

26

DATED and EFFECTIVE this 6th day of Moumber, 2000 1 BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS 2 OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 3 4 5 **Executive Director** б 7 Original of the foregoing filed this 4th day of November, 2000, with: 8 9 Arizona Board of Medical Examiners 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road 10 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 11 Copy of the foregoing mailed this 4th day of North 2000, to: 12 13 Darryl J. Mohr 33 E. Comstock Drive Ste. 3 14 Chandler, Arizona 85225 Respondent 15 Holly R. Gieszl, Esq. Kimmerer & LaVelle, P.L.C 16 221 E Indianola Avenue 17 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorney for Respondent 18 M. Elizabeth Burns 19 Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007 20 Attorney for State 21 22 23

- 5-